



PLANNING SERVICE
RECEIVED
18 MAR 2014

ADDINGHAM

WEST YORKS

LS29



LOCAL PLAN GROUP

CITY OF BRADFORD METROPOLITAN AREA

15/03/14

Dear Local Plan Group,

We have attempted to fill in the enclosed forms to the best of our ability. We had only one sheet for comments so apologise for the slightly less than pristine Page 3 entries.

We wish to object strongly to the manner of these forms and the difficulty for ordinary members of the public and ratepayers to fill in. The time involved in attempting to find relevant sections and the policy numbers which in any case can cover over several areas on one point raised, is onerous and well nigh impossible.

WE have tried our best,

Our feeling is that having made the effort which other people will not have the will, energy or time to do we trust that you will acknowledge this accompanying letter to us personally and also, give people a simple form which you will publicise, to fill in before the deadline.

Yours sincerely

 Thomas

 Porritt



PLEASE NOTE

Do not separate this letter from our forms

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

PLANNING SERVICE

15 MAR 2014

15 MAR 2014

www.bradford.gov.uk

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	MR & MRS	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	PORRITT / THOMAS	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	ADDINGTON HALL	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS 29 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 15 th March 2014

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

For Office Use only:

Date

5/1

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section

4.3

Paragraph

[]

Policy

WD.1

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant

Yes

AT PRESENT
GREEN BELT

No

4 (2). Sound

Yes

n

No

4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate

Yes

see
accomp. letter

No

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

200 houses } + local need.
local need } Our 3 areas of comment.
Green Belt }

①

Green Belt

We are happy that Addingham appears not to have houses in general, constructed on green belt but concerned there may be further assessment on this point. This village is the gateway to the Dales and a separate entity. It should be surrounded by green space and not subject to 'fill in' on its edges or to become part of ribbon development from Ilkley

2

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

Bradford.gov.uk

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4.3.	Paragraph		Policy	Other + 5.2, 5.3
---------	------	-----------	--	--------	---------------------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 (2). Sound	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

200
Houses WD 1. (?) ² LOCAL
NEED

NEED -

The document goes across many areas so I am unsure of the Policy references here. The NEED for local housing has not been assessed as yet. Developers will attempt to build on 'green' spaces and to build housing at the top end of the market or in other cases to cram in unsustainable and overcrowded housing. The NEED for housing is in the more urbanised areas of Bradford Metropolitan Council not in Wharfedale where houses will be in general not for people from lower income groups where need is essential. I agree in that the wording 'UP TO 200 Houses' has been

Windfall sites should be included in this noted Page 3
number

3

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

01274 340700

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B - YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4.3+	Paragraph		Policy	5.2 other
---------	------	-----------	--	--------	-----------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1) - Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2) - Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3) - Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

INFRASTRUCTURE ③

We wish to comment on the infrastructure lack particularly in the ILKLEY / ADDINGHAM AREA
ADDINGHAM
DOCTORS SURGERY - appears totally busy, SCHOOL + SCHOOL PLACES at secondary level - Full, PARKING, ILKLEY - necessary for ADDINGHAM residents very difficult
A65 - a full road + a bottleneck
JOBs in the AREA not readily available.

There are no proposals included for INFRASTRUCTURE
 We understand these MAN follow - What guarantee of that is there?

Page 3 cont.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

A guarantee that no lack of adherence to the plan can be challenged - for instance by developers
A guarantee that the pleasant surprise for Addingham that GREEN SPACE is protected will continue.
That Houses will be only allowed subject to LOCAL NEED in this village

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature: [REDACTED]

Date: 15th March 2015